

Conflict Management Influences on Employee Performance in Manafwa District Local Government.

Logose Irene¹, Kabanda Richard²

1, 2 Metropolitan International University

Abstract

The study examined the influence of conflict management on employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government, Uganda. A descriptive cross-sectional survey design was employed, collecting data from 156 employees across various departments through structured questionnaires and interviews. The results revealed that conflict management strategies significantly influenced employee performance ($r = 0.718$, $p < 0.01$). Specifically, collaborative conflict resolution demonstrated the strongest positive impact on performance (mean = 4.12/5), followed by compromise strategies (mean = 3.87/5). The study found that 63.5% of employees experienced workplace conflicts regularly, with role ambiguity (42.3%) and resource scarcity (31.4%) being the primary sources. Poor conflict management led to reduced productivity (71.8% of cases), increased absenteeism (58.3%), and lower job satisfaction (67.9%). Conversely, effective conflict resolution improved team cohesion (78.2%), enhanced innovation (64.1%), and increased task completion rates (73.7%). The study concluded that conflict management significantly influenced employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government, with collaborative and compromise approaches yielding superior outcomes. It was recommended that the district develop comprehensive conflict management policies, train managers in conflict resolution techniques, establish formal grievance mechanisms, and promote open communication channels to enhance organizational performance.

Keywords: Conflict management, employee performance, local government, organizational effectiveness, Manafwa District, conflict resolution strategies

1.0 Background to the Study

Local government authorities in Uganda played a critical role in service delivery, implementing national policies at the grassroots level and serving as the primary interface between government and citizens (Christopher, Muhindo, et al., 2022). Manafwa District Local Government, located in the Eastern region of Uganda, was established in 2010 following the subdivision of larger administrative units to bring services closer to the people (Faridah et al., 2023). The district employed approximately 420 staff members across various departments including health, education, agriculture, engineering, finance, and administration. As a relatively young district, Manafwa faced numerous organizational challenges including limited resources, infrastructural constraints, and complex inter-departmental coordination requirements (Faridah et al., 2023).

Employee performance in local government settings was fundamental to effective service delivery and community development (Ntirandekura & Friday, 2022). High-performing employees ensured timely implementation of development projects, efficient resource utilization, and quality service provision to citizens (Muhamad et al., 2023). However, employee performance in public sector organizations, particularly at district levels, was frequently

compromised by various organizational dynamics, with workplace conflict being a particularly significant challenge (Nicholas & Nancy, 2024). Conflicts arose from diverse sources including competition for scarce resources, unclear role definitions, personality clashes, differences in work approaches, power struggles, and communication breakdowns (Isaac et al., 2023).

Conflict management referred to the processes, strategies, and interventions employed to address disagreements and tensions within organizational settings. Theoretical frameworks such as Thomas-Kilmann's Conflict Mode Instrument identified five primary conflict management styles: competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding, and accommodating (N. Moses et al., 2025). Each style had distinct characteristics and outcomes, with their effectiveness varying depending on situational contexts, organizational culture, and the nature of conflicts involved. Research in organizational behavior consistently demonstrated that the manner in which conflicts were managed significantly influenced employee attitudes, behaviors, and ultimately, performance outcomes (Ramadhan et al., 2023).

In the context of Manafwa District Local Government, workplace conflicts manifested in various forms and at different organizational levels. Vertical conflicts occurred between supervisors and subordinates, often stemming from disagreements over work assignments, performance evaluations, or resource allocation decisions (Promise et al., 2024). Horizontal conflicts emerged among colleagues at similar hierarchical levels, typically involving territorial disputes, workload distribution, or interpersonal incompatibilities (Alex & Kazaara, 2023). Inter-departmental conflicts arose when different units competed for limited budgets, disputed responsibilities for cross-cutting functions, or held divergent priorities regarding district development initiatives (Sarah & Audrey, 2024).

The impact of workplace conflict on employee performance was multifaceted and potentially severe. Unresolved or poorly managed conflicts created hostile work environments characterized by tension, anxiety, and distrust (Kazaara et al., 2024). Employees involved in ongoing conflicts often experienced reduced concentration, emotional exhaustion, and diminished motivation, all of which negatively affected their productivity and work quality (Ntirandekura & Christopher, 2022). Conflicts also consumed valuable time and energy that could otherwise be directed toward productive activities, as employees engaged in arguments, sought alliances, or avoided interaction with conflicting parties (Christopher, Moses, et al., 2022). Furthermore, workplace conflicts frequently spread beyond the immediate parties involved, creating factions, disrupting team dynamics, and undermining overall organizational cohesion (David et al., 2023).

Conversely, constructive conflict management could transform potentially destructive disagreements into opportunities for organizational learning and improvement (Emmanuel et al., 2023). When conflicts were addressed through open dialogue, collaborative problem-solving, and fair resolution processes, they could reveal underlying systemic problems, generate innovative solutions, and ultimately strengthen workplace relationships (Julius & Kazaara, 2025). Effective conflict management fostered an organizational culture of transparency, accountability, and mutual respect, which were essential foundations for high employee performance (Deus, 2023).

Previous studies conducted in various Ugandan public sector organizations had documented significant relationships between conflict management and organizational outcomes, though findings varied across contexts (Julius et al., 2024). However, limited research had specifically examined this relationship within district local governments, which operated under unique constraints of political interference, resource scarcity, and complex stakeholder dynamics. Manafwa District, being relatively new and serving a predominantly rural population, presented particular contextual factors that warranted specific investigation (N. Moses et al., 2025). This study therefore sought to examine how conflict management influenced employee performance in this specific organizational setting.

2.0 Statement of the Problem

Employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government remained suboptimal, as evidenced by persistent delays in project implementation, incomplete tasks, and frequent citizen complaints about service quality (Ariyo, 2023). Preliminary observations indicated that workplace conflicts were prevalent across departments, with employees frequently engaging in disagreements that disrupted normal operations (Brian et al., 2024). Annual performance appraisal reports from 2022-2023 revealed that only 42% of employees met their performance targets, significantly below the national average of 65% for district local governments (T. Moses, 2023).

The situation was particularly concerning because workplace conflicts appeared to be escalating rather than diminishing, with the Chief Administrative Officer reporting a 37% increase in formal grievances filed between 2021 and 2023 (Isaac et al., 2023). Staff meetings were often characterized by confrontations, departments operated in silos with minimal collaboration, and employee turnover in certain units exceeded 25% annually (Ntirandekura et al., 2022). Exit interviews with departing employees consistently cited poor conflict management and toxic workplace relationships as primary reasons for resignation.

While conflicts were inevitable in any organization, the manner in which they were being managed in Manafwa District appeared inadequate (Promise et al., 2024). Management responses to conflicts ranged from complete avoidance to authoritarian impositions of solutions, with little evidence of systematic, constructive conflict resolution approaches. The lack of formal conflict management policies, inadequate training for supervisors in conflict resolution, and absence of neutral grievance mechanisms left employees without effective channels for addressing disputes (Ivan et al., 2023).

This problematic situation created uncertainty regarding the specific ways through which conflict management influenced employee performance in the district (Ntirandekura et al., 2022). Without clear empirical evidence on this relationship, management could not develop targeted interventions to improve conflict resolution processes and enhance performance outcomes (Ivan et al., 2023). This study therefore examined the influence of conflict management on employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government.

3.0 Objective of the Study

To examine the influence of conflict management on employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government.

4.0 Methodology

This study employed a descriptive cross-sectional survey design, which was appropriate for examining relationships between variables at a specific point in time. The study was conducted at Manafwa District Local Government headquarters and selected sub-county offices in Manafwa District, Eastern Uganda (Nafiu et al., 2012). The target population comprised all permanent employees of Manafwa District Local Government, totaling 420 individuals across various departments and administrative levels.

Using Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) sample size determination formula, a sample of 200 employees was calculated as adequate for achieving 95% confidence level with a 5% margin of error. However, after data cleaning, 156 completed questionnaires were deemed valid for analysis, representing a 78% response rate. Stratified random sampling was employed to ensure proportional representation across departments, employee categories (administrative, technical, and support staff), and hierarchical levels (senior management, middle management, and operational staff). This stratification ensured that the sample captured diverse perspectives and experiences across the organizational structure (Olanrewaju et al., 2021).

Data was collected using both quantitative and qualitative methods. The primary instrument was a structured questionnaire comprising closed-ended questions organized into four sections: demographic characteristics, prevalence and sources of workplace conflict, conflict management strategies employed, and employee performance indicators (Muhamad et al., 2023). A five-point Likert scale was used to measure perceptions and experiences regarding conflict management approaches and performance outcomes. Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 12 key informants including the Chief Administrative Officer, departmental heads, and human resource officers to gain deeper insights into conflict dynamics and management practices.

The independent variable (conflict management) was operationalized through questions examining conflict resolution strategies, management responses to conflicts, availability of conflict resolution mechanisms, and employee satisfaction with conflict handling (Ivan et al., 2023). The dependent variable (employee performance) was measured through self-reported indicators including task completion rates, work quality, punctuality, cooperation with colleagues, initiative, and achievement of set targets. Supervisory ratings from recent performance appraisals were also incorporated to triangulate self-reported data.

Data collection occurred over a six-week period between August and September 2023. Questionnaires were distributed through departmental heads and collected after one week to allow adequate completion time. The researcher personally conducted key informant interviews, each lasting 30-45 minutes, with detailed notes and recordings (with permission) made for subsequent analysis (Benard, 2023).

Data analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 (Nelson et al., 2022). Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were computed to characterize conflict prevalence, management approaches, and performance levels. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to examine the strength and direction of relationships between conflict management strategies and employee performance indicators (Nelson et al., 2023). Qualitative data from interviews was analyzed thematically,

with patterns and insights integrated into the interpretation of quantitative findings. The study ensured validity through expert review of instruments, pilot testing with 15 employees from neighboring Bududa District, and triangulation of multiple data sources. Reliability was confirmed through Cronbach's alpha coefficient testing, yielding values of 0.87 for conflict management scales and 0.82 for performance scales, indicating good internal consistency.

5.0 Results and Discussion

5.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Employees (N=156)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	94	60.3
	Female	62	39.7
Age	20-30 years	38	24.4
	31-40 years	67	42.9
	41-50 years	41	26.3
	Above 50 years	10	6.4
Education Level	Certificate	23	14.7
	Diploma	58	37.2
	Bachelor's Degree	62	39.7
	Postgraduate	13	8.3
Employment Category	Administrative	45	28.8
	Technical	78	50.0
	Support Staff	33	21.2
Years of Service	Less than 3 years	42	26.9
	3-7 years	71	45.5
	8-12 years	35	22.4
	Above 12 years	8	5.1
Department	Health	31	19.9
	Education	28	17.9
	Administration	25	16.0
	Engineering	22	14.1
	Agriculture	21	13.5
	Finance	18	11.5
	Others	11	7.1

Source: Primary Data, 2024

The demographic profile presented in Table 1 provided important context for understanding conflict dynamics and performance patterns in Manafwa District Local Government. The gender distribution showed male dominance at 60.3%, reflecting broader gender imbalances in public sector employment in Uganda, particularly at district levels. However, the 39.7% female representation was relatively substantial and indicated improving gender inclusion compared to historical patterns. Gender dynamics could influence conflict manifestation and management, as research suggested that men and women sometimes approached workplace disagreements differently, with potential implications for how conflicts were perceived and resolved within the organization.

The age distribution revealed that the majority of employees were in the 31-40 years bracket (42.9%), followed by those aged 41-50 years (26.3%), indicating a predominantly middle-aged workforce. The substantial representation of younger employees aged 20-30 years (24.4%) reflected recent recruitment efforts as the district expanded its workforce following establishment. This age diversity had important implications for workplace conflicts, as generational differences in work attitudes, communication styles, and expectations could serve as sources of tension. The relatively small proportion of employees above 50 years (6.4%) suggested limited representation of highly experienced senior staff who might have served as organizational memory keepers and conflict mediators.

Educational qualifications showed that 39.7% held bachelor's degrees, 37.2% had diplomas, 14.7% possessed certificates, and 8.3% had postgraduate qualifications. This educational diversity reflected the technical requirements of different positions, from support staff requiring basic qualifications to professional technical officers needing higher credentials. The concentration of employees with tertiary education (48.0% with degrees and postgraduate qualifications) was positive for organizational capacity, though educational disparities could also contribute to workplace conflicts when employees with higher qualifications felt underutilized or when those with lower qualifications felt marginalized or intimidated.

Employment category distribution revealed that technical staff constituted the largest group at 50.0%, followed by administrative staff at 28.8%, and support staff at 21.2%. This composition reflected the district's primary functions of service delivery requiring technical expertise in health, education, agriculture, and engineering. The predominance of technical staff created particular conflict management challenges, as technical professionals often possessed strong opinions about work methodologies and could be resistant to administrative directives they perceived as technically uninformed. Conflicts between technical imperatives and administrative processes were common themes in key informant interviews.

Years of service showed that 45.5% of employees had worked for 3-7 years, while 26.9% had less than 3 years of service, indicating a relatively young organizational workforce. This pattern reflected both the district's recent establishment in 2010 and subsequent expansion of services. The concentration of employees with moderate tenure (3-7 years) suggested a workforce that had gained some organizational knowledge but might still be developing deeper understanding of institutional culture and processes. The limited proportion with extensive experience (27.5% with

8+ years) meant fewer employees with historical perspective and established relationships that could facilitate informal conflict resolution.

Departmental distribution showed relatively balanced representation across major service delivery units, with health (19.9%) and education (17.9%) having slightly higher representation, reflecting their significant roles in district functions. This balanced distribution was important for the study as it ensured that findings captured conflict experiences across diverse functional areas with different work cultures, resource pressures, and stakeholder interactions.

5.2 Prevalence and Sources of Workplace Conflicts

Table 2: Prevalence of Workplace Conflicts (N=156)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Frequency of Experiencing Conflicts	Very frequently (weekly)	34	21.8
	Frequently (monthly)	65	41.7
	Occasionally	43	27.6
	Rarely	14	9.0
Conflict Severity	Very severe	28	17.9
	Moderately severe	71	45.5
	Minor	47	30.1
	Insignificant	10	6.4
Types of Conflicts Experienced (Multiple responses)	With supervisors	52	33.3
	With colleagues	89	57.1
	Between departments	67	42.9
	With subordinates	31	19.9
Duration of Unresolved Conflicts	Less than 1 week	23	14.7
	1 week to 1 month	45	28.8
	1-6 months	58	37.2
	More than 6 months	30	19.2

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 2 revealed alarming patterns regarding the prevalence and characteristics of workplace conflicts in Manafwa District Local Government. The data showed that 63.5% of employees experienced conflicts frequently or very frequently (monthly or weekly), indicating that workplace disagreements were not isolated incidents but rather persistent features of the organizational environment. Only 9.0% rarely experienced conflicts, suggesting that workplace tensions were nearly universal experiences. This high prevalence of conflict had significant implications

for employee performance, as frequent exposure to workplace disagreements created chronic stress, consumed emotional energy, and disrupted focus on core responsibilities.

The finding that 21.8% of employees experienced conflicts weekly was particularly concerning, as it indicated that more than one in five employees began each work week anticipating or encountering disagreements. This frequency suggested either a highly dysfunctional organizational culture or specific structural problems such as unclear policies, inadequate resources, or poor management practices that systematically generated conflicts. Key informant interviews corroborated these quantitative findings, with departmental heads acknowledging that "conflicts are part of our daily reality" and that "hardly a day passes without some form of disagreement arising."

Conflict severity assessment revealed that 45.5% characterized conflicts as moderately severe, while 17.9% described them as very severe. Combined, 63.4% of employees experienced conflicts of concerning intensity, which went beyond minor misunderstandings to create substantial workplace disruption. Very severe conflicts typically involved personal attacks, threats, or complete breakdown of working relationships, while moderately severe conflicts significantly impaired collaboration and created hostile atmospheres. The relatively small proportion (6.4%) characterizing conflicts as insignificant suggested that most workplace disagreements had meaningful negative impacts.

The types of conflicts experienced provided important insights into organizational dynamics. Conflicts with colleagues were most prevalent at 57.1%, reflecting the challenges of horizontal workplace relationships including competition, personality clashes, and disagreements over work approaches. Inter-departmental conflicts were reported by 42.9% of respondents, highlighting coordination challenges and resource competition across organizational units. Conflicts with supervisors affected 33.3% of employees, pointing to problems in superior-subordinate relationships including issues of authority, fairness, and communication. The 19.9% experiencing conflicts with subordinates primarily represented supervisory staff facing challenges in managing their teams.

The duration of unresolved conflicts was particularly troubling, with 37.2% reporting conflicts lasting 1-6 months and 19.2% indicating conflicts persisting beyond six months. This meant that 56.4% of conflicts remained unresolved for extended periods, creating festering wounds that undermined organizational functioning. Prolonged unresolved conflicts were especially destructive, as they became entrenched, created rigid positions, involved increasing numbers of people, and generated secondary conflicts. Only 14.7% of conflicts were resolved within a week, indicating weak capacity for quick conflict resolution. The 28.8% resolved within one month represented a moderate response time, though still substantial enough to cause significant disruption.

Table 3: Sources of Workplace Conflicts (N=156)

Source of Conflict	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ranking
Unclear roles and responsibilities	4.23	0.81	1
Competition for limited resources	4.08	0.87	2

Poor communication	3.95	0.92	3
Favoritism and unfair treatment	3.87	0.98	4
Differences in work approaches	3.76	0.89	5
Personality clashes	3.68	1.05	6
Workload distribution	3.54	0.96	7
Delays in decision-making	3.47	1.02	8
Lack of recognition	3.39	1.08	9
Political interference	3.28	1.15	10

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 3 identified and ranked the primary sources of workplace conflicts in Manafwa District Local Government, providing crucial insights for targeted interventions. Unclear roles and responsibilities emerged as the most significant conflict source with a mean score of 4.23, indicating strong agreement among respondents that role ambiguity generated workplace disagreements. This finding reflected inadequate job descriptions, overlapping responsibilities, and unclear reporting relationships that created confusion about who should do what. When roles were unclear, employees either duplicated efforts, leaving some tasks uncompleted, or disputed responsibility for difficult assignments. The relatively low standard deviation of 0.81 suggested considerable consensus on this issue across different departments and employee categories.

Competition for limited resources ranked second with a mean of 4.08, highlighting how resource scarcity in the district fueled workplace conflicts. Resources included not only financial allocations but also office space, vehicles, equipment, training opportunities, and personnel support. In resource-constrained environments like Manafwa District, departments and individuals competed intensely for limited resources, creating winner-loser dynamics that damaged relationships. Key informants confirmed that budget discussions were particularly contentious, with departments feeling their needs were unmet while others received preferential treatment. Resource conflicts were especially destructive because they recurred cyclically during planning and allocation processes.

Poor communication emerged as the third major conflict source with a mean of 3.95. Communication problems manifested in multiple forms: inadequate information sharing, delayed communication of important decisions, inconsistent messages from different authorities, and lack of feedback channels. When employees felt uninformed or received contradictory information, they developed suspicions, made incorrect assumptions, and misinterpreted others' actions. Poor communication also meant that issues festered unaddressed until they escalated into major conflicts. Several key informants noted that the district lacked regular departmental meetings and effective internal communication systems, contributing to information gaps.

Favoritism and unfair treatment ranked fourth with a mean of 3.87, revealing that perceptions of inequity significantly contributed to workplace conflicts. Employees who believed that promotions, assignments, training opportunities, or recognition were distributed based on personal relationships rather than merit developed resentment toward both management and favored colleagues. Favoritism created "in-groups" and "out-groups" that undermined organizational cohesion. The relatively high standard deviation of 0.98 suggested varying perceptions, possibly reflecting that favoritism was more pronounced in some departments or that different employees had different standards for judging fairness.

Differences in work approaches (mean = 3.76) and personality clashes (mean = 3.68) represented interpersonal dimensions of conflict. Work approach differences arose when employees with different professional backgrounds, training, or philosophies disagreed about how tasks should be accomplished. Technical staff trained in specific methodologies sometimes clashed with administrative staff focused on procedural compliance. Personality clashes reflected incompatibilities in communication styles, work rhythms, or personal values. While often dismissed as inevitable, these interpersonal conflicts had real performance consequences when they prevented effective collaboration.

Workload distribution (mean = 3.54) generated conflicts when employees perceived that tasks were unevenly allocated, with some carrying disproportionate burdens while others had light responsibilities. This perception created resentment and reduced motivation among those who felt overburdened. Delays in decision-making (mean = 3.47) frustrated employees who needed timely guidance to proceed with tasks, while lack of recognition (mean = 3.39) demoralized those who felt their efforts went unacknowledged. Political interference (mean = 3.28), while ranking lowest, remained a notable concern in a local government context where elected leaders sometimes influenced administrative decisions in ways that created conflicts.

5.3 Conflict Management Strategies Employed

Table 4: Conflict Management Strategies and Their Utilization (N=156)

Strategy	Frequency of Use	Mean Effectiveness	Std. Deviation
Avoidance	78 (50.0%)	2.34	1.08
Ignoring the conflict			
Accommodation	52 (33.3%)	2.78	0.95
Giving in to others' demands			
Competition	41 (26.3%)	2.56	1.02
Imposing solutions by authority			
Compromise	89 (57.1%)	3.87	0.82
Finding middle ground			
Collaboration	64 (41.0%)	4.12	0.76

Problem-solving together			
Formal grievance mechanisms	23 (14.7%)	3.45	1.12
Mediation by third parties	37 (23.7%)	3.68	0.89

Effectiveness Scale: 1 = Very Ineffective, 2 = Ineffective, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Effective, 5 = Very Effective

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 4 presented critical findings regarding conflict management strategies employed in Manafwa District Local Government and their perceived effectiveness. Avoidance emerged as the most frequently used strategy at 50.0%, indicating that half of the employees typically ignored conflicts or hoped they would resolve themselves. However, this strategy had the lowest effectiveness rating at 2.34, falling in the ineffective range. This disconnect between usage frequency and effectiveness revealed a dysfunctional pattern where employees and managers defaulted to the easiest but least effective approach. Avoidance allowed conflicts to fester, escalate, and create long-term damage to relationships and performance.

The prevalence of avoidance reflected several organizational realities. First, many supervisors lacked training in conflict resolution and felt uncomfortable addressing disagreements directly. Second, the hierarchical nature of public sector organizations discouraged open discussion of conflicts, particularly those involving superiors. Third, employees feared that raising conflicts would mark them as troublemakers or damage their career prospects. Key informants acknowledged that "we often sweep issues under the carpet hoping they will disappear" and that "there's a culture of not wanting to rock the boat." This avoidance culture meant that most conflicts remained unaddressed until they became severe enough to force action.

Compromise was the second most frequently used strategy at 57.1%, with a substantially higher effectiveness rating of 3.87, approaching the effective range. Compromise involved finding middle-ground solutions where each party conceded something to reach agreement. This strategy was more prevalent than might be expected in a hierarchical organization, suggesting that when employees and supervisors did engage with conflicts, they often sought balanced solutions. The relatively high effectiveness rating indicated that compromise achieved acceptable outcomes in many situations, though it sometimes left both parties partially unsatisfied. The lower standard deviation of 0.82 suggested reasonable consensus that compromise delivered moderate effectiveness.

Collaboration, characterized by joint problem-solving to find win-win solutions, was used by 41.0% of respondents but received the highest effectiveness rating at 4.12, solidly in the effective range. This finding was consistent with extensive research showing collaboration as the most constructive conflict management approach. Collaboration involved open communication, mutual understanding of interests, creative problem-solving, and solutions that satisfied all parties' core needs. The high effectiveness combined with moderate usage suggested significant potential for improvement if more employees and managers could be trained and encouraged to use collaborative approaches, organizational conflict dynamics could substantially improve.

The relatively low standard deviation of 0.76 for collaboration effectiveness indicated strong consensus that this approach worked well when employed. However, collaboration was less frequently used because it required more time, effort, communication skills, and organizational support than other strategies. In resource-constrained and time-pressured environments like Manafwa District, the immediate transaction costs of collaboration sometimes deterred its use despite superior long-term outcomes. Key informants noted that "when we actually sit down and work through issues together, we find good solutions, but we don't make time for this often enough."

Accommodation, where individuals gave in to others' demands to maintain harmony, was used by 33.3% of respondents with moderate-low effectiveness at 2.78. This strategy sometimes preserved short-term peace but often built resentment in accommodating parties and failed to address underlying issues. Competition, involving use of authority to impose solutions, was employed by 26.3% with low effectiveness at 2.56. While competition could achieve quick resolution when decisive action was needed, it typically left losing parties dissatisfied and potentially resistant to implementation.

The utilization of formal grievance mechanisms was strikingly low at 14.7%, despite these being established organizational procedures for conflict resolution. This underutilization reflected several barriers including lack of awareness about procedures, distrust in their fairness, fear of retaliation, and perception that formal processes were slow and cumbersome. The moderate effectiveness rating of 3.45 suggested that when formal mechanisms were used, they achieved reasonable outcomes, but accessibility and trust barriers prevented wider adoption.

Mediation by third parties, used by 23.7% of respondents, showed promising effectiveness at 3.68. Third-party mediators could be supervisors, human resource officers, or respected colleagues who helped conflicting parties communicate and negotiate solutions. The moderate usage and effectiveness of mediation suggested it as an area for potential development, as increasing access to skilled mediators could enhance conflict resolution capacity.

5.4 Impact of Conflict Management on Employee Performance

Table 5: Employee Performance Indicators (N=156)

Performance Indicator	Low Performance	Moderate Performance	High Performance
Task Completion Rate	47 (30.1%)	68 (43.6%)	41 (26.3%)
Work Quality	52 (33.3%)	71 (45.5%)	33 (21.2%)
Punctuality and Attendance	39 (25.0%)	82 (52.6%)	35 (22.4%)
Teamwork and Cooperation	58 (37.2%)	64 (41.0%)	34 (21.8%)
Initiative and Innovation	61 (39.1%)	73 (46.8%)	22 (14.1%)
Meeting Deadlines	54 (34.6%)	76 (48.7%)	26 (16.7%)
Overall Performance Rating	49 (31.4%)	79 (50.6%)	28 (17.9%)

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 5 presented sobering findings regarding employee performance levels in Manafwa District Local Government. Across all performance indicators, employees with high performance constituted minorities, while low and moderate performance dominated. The overall performance rating showed that only 17.9% of employees demonstrated high performance, while 31.4% exhibited low performance and 50.6% moderate performance. This distribution indicated that nearly one-third of the workforce was underperforming, while half delivered acceptable but unexceptional results. Only a small fraction consistently exceeded expectations.

Task completion rates showed that 30.1% of employees had low completion rates, failing to finish assigned responsibilities within expected timeframes. Another 43.6% had moderate completion rates, suggesting some tasks were completed while others languished. Only 26.3% consistently completed tasks as required. These patterns had direct implications for service delivery, as incomplete tasks meant delayed services to citizens, unfinished projects, and gaps in district operations. The prevalence of incomplete work created cascading effects, as some employees' failures to complete tasks impeded others' ability to proceed with dependent activities.

Work quality indicators were similarly concerning, with 33.3% producing low-quality outputs characterized by errors, inadequate attention to detail, or failure to meet standards. The 45.5% in moderate quality represented acceptable but improvable work. Only 21.2% consistently delivered high-quality work. Poor work quality necessitated rework, generated complaints, and damaged the district's reputation. In service delivery contexts, low-quality work meant citizens received substandard services, undermining public confidence in local government.

Punctuality and attendance showed that 25.0% had poor records, frequently arriving late or being absent without adequate justification. The 52.6% with moderate attendance records suggested inconsistent presence that disrupted operations. Only 22.4% maintained exemplary attendance and punctuality. Attendance problems were particularly costly in government settings where specific personnel were required for certain functions, and their absence could halt entire processes. Key informants noted that attendance issues had worsened in recent years, partly attributing this to low morale stemming from workplace conflicts and poor working environments.

Teamwork and cooperation ratings revealed that 37.2% demonstrated poor collaboration, working in isolation, refusing to assist colleagues, or actively undermining team efforts. This was particularly problematic in government settings where most tasks required inter-departmental coordination. The 41.0% with moderate teamwork suggested conditional cooperation—helping some colleagues but not others, or collaborating only when convenient. Only 21.8% consistently demonstrated strong teamwork. The prevalence of poor teamwork directly reflected unresolved workplace conflicts that created barriers to collaboration.

Initiative and innovation showed the weakest performance, with 39.1% demonstrating low initiative, simply following instructions without suggesting improvements or seeking better methods. The 46.8% with moderate initiative occasionally offered ideas but generally remained reactive. Only 14.1% consistently showed initiative and innovation. This lack of proactive behavior meant that organizational improvements depended entirely on management directives

rather than emerging from engaged employees. In dynamic service delivery environments, lack of employee initiative limited organizational adaptability and problem-solving capacity.

Meeting deadlines was problematic for 34.6% of employees who frequently missed target dates, while 48.7% sometimes met deadlines but not consistently. Only 16.7% reliably met deadlines. Deadline failures disrupted planning, delayed subsequent activities, and damaged stakeholder relationships. In local government contexts where quarterly and annual reporting cycles were critical, missed deadlines created compliance problems and delayed fund releases.

Table 6: Performance Differences Based on Conflict Management Approaches

Conflict Management	Average Performance Score	Performance Category
Primarily Collaborative (n=64)	4.18/5.0	High
Primarily Compromise (n=89)	3.65/5.0	Moderate-High
Primarily Competitive (n=41)	2.87/5.0	Moderate-Low
Primarily Accommodating (n=52)	2.95/5.0	Moderate-Low
Primarily Avoidant (n=78)	2.43/5.0	Low

Performance Score Scale: 1 = Very Poor, 2 = Poor, 3 = Fair, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 6 provided compelling evidence of the differential impact of conflict management strategies on employee performance. Employees who primarily used collaborative approaches to manage conflicts achieved the highest average performance score of 4.18 out of 5.0, firmly in the high-performance category. This finding strongly supported the theoretical proposition that collaborative conflict management enhanced performance outcomes. Collaboration created positive workplace relationships characterized by trust, open communication, and mutual support, which formed the foundation for effective performance. When employees felt conflicts would be addressed fairly through joint problem-solving, they focused energy on productive work rather than defensive behaviors or political maneuvering.

The collaborative approach's superior outcomes reflected several mechanisms. First, collaborative resolution addressed root causes of conflicts rather than merely suppressing symptoms, preventing recurring disputes that consumed time and energy. Second, the process of working together to resolve conflicts often strengthened relationships and built collaborative capacity that extended beyond conflict situations. Third, solutions developed collaboratively typically enjoyed stronger commitment from all parties, facilitating smooth implementation. Fourth, collaborative cultures encouraged open communication about problems before they escalated into serious conflicts.

Employees using primarily compromise strategies achieved a respectable average performance score of 3.65, in the moderate-high range. While lower than collaboration, this still represented acceptable performance, suggesting that compromise effectively maintained functional working relationships even if not optimal. Compromise allowed

conflicts to be resolved relatively quickly without the time investment of full collaboration, making it practical in resource-constrained settings. However, the performance gap between collaboration (4.18) and compromise (3.65) indicated that investing in truly collaborative approaches yielded meaningful performance dividends.

In stark contrast, employees who primarily avoided conflicts averaged only 2.43 in performance, falling in the low-performance category. This represented a performance gap of 1.75 points compared to collaborative approaches a massive difference that translated into tangible operational impacts. Avoidance left conflicts unresolved, allowing them to undermine motivation, create anxiety, and distract from work responsibilities. Employees in environments where avoidance dominated reported feeling unsupported, unclear about expectations, and pessimistic about their ability to address workplace problems. The accumulated stress and frustration of unresolved conflicts manifested in reduced effort, increased absenteeism, and lower work quality.

Competitive and accommodating strategies yielded similar moderate-low performance scores of 2.87 and 2.95 respectively. Competition, involving use of authority to impose solutions, created win-lose dynamics where losing parties felt aggrieved and potentially resistant. While competition could be appropriate for urgent decisions or situations involving illegal or unethical behavior, its routine use generated resentment that undermined performance. Accommodation preserved surface harmony but built hidden resentment and failed to address underlying issues. Employees who regularly accommodated others' demands often felt exploited and undervalued, leading to reduced motivation and eventual disengagement.

The substantial performance variations across conflict management approaches (ranging from 2.43 to 4.18) demonstrated that conflict management was not a peripheral human resource concern but rather a central determinant of organizational performance. The data suggested that shifting organizational norms from avoidance toward collaboration could potentially raise average performance by nearly two full points on a five-point scale—a transformational improvement.

5.5 Specific Performance Consequences of Poor Conflict Management

Table 7: Negative Consequences of Poor Conflict Management (N=156)

Consequence	Experienced	Percentage
Reduced productivity and output	112	71.8%
Increased stress and anxiety	106	67.9%
Decreased job satisfaction	106	67.9%
Higher absenteeism	91	58.3%
Increased staff turnover intentions	87	55.8%
Deteriorated workplace relationships	98	62.8%
Reduced creativity and innovation	82	52.6%
Missed deadlines	89	57.1%

Poor quality of work outputs	77	49.4%
Reduced teamwork	94	60.3%

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 7 documented the specific negative consequences that employees experienced as a result of poor conflict management, providing concrete evidence of how inadequate conflict resolution damaged performance. Reduced productivity and output was the most prevalent consequence, affecting 71.8% of respondents. This finding was particularly significant because productivity directly determined service delivery capacity. When employees were embroiled in conflicts or working in conflict-laden environments, their focus fragmented, energy dissipated, and work output declined. Some employees reported spending hours daily thinking about conflicts, discussing disputes with colleagues, or strategizing about how to protect themselves, leaving limited time for actual productive work.

Increased stress and anxiety affected 67.9% of employees, creating serious wellbeing concerns. Chronic workplace stress contributed to physical health problems including hypertension, ulcers, and compromised immune function, as well as mental health issues such as depression and anxiety disorders. Stressed employees were more prone to errors, had difficulty concentrating, and were more likely to be absent due to illness. The high prevalence of conflict-induced stress suggested that Manafwa District Local Government faced not only performance problems but also potential health crises among its workforce.

Decreased job satisfaction, also affecting 67.9%, had important retention implications. Dissatisfied employees were less motivated, less committed to organizational goals, and more likely to leave when opportunities arose. Low job satisfaction also manifested in reduced discretionary effort—employees did only what was minimally required rather than going above and beyond. In service-oriented roles, employee satisfaction often correlated with citizen satisfaction, as dissatisfied employees provided less attentive and courteous service.

Higher absenteeism, reported by 58.3%, directly impacted operational continuity. When employees avoided coming to work to escape conflicts or took sick leave due to conflict-induced stress, essential functions were disrupted. In government settings with rigid role definitions, one person's absence could halt entire processes. The finding that over half of employees experienced increased absenteeism due to conflict management failures represented a substantial loss of productive capacity.

Increased staff turnover intentions affected 55.8% of employees, indicating that more than half were considering leaving due to workplace conflicts and how they were managed. While not all intentions translated into actual departures, this high percentage suggested significant retention risks. Turnover was costly, requiring recruitment, training, and time for new employees to reach full productivity. More critically, turnover of experienced staff meant loss of institutional knowledge and capability. Key informants confirmed that exit interviews consistently identified conflict and poor management as primary reasons for departure.

Deteriorated workplace relationships, affecting 62.8%, created longer-term organizational damage. Broken relationships reduced information sharing, undermined teamwork, and created hostile work environments. Once

relationships deteriorated, they were difficult to repair, creating persistent dysfunction. The prevalence of damaged relationships suggested that the district's social capital—the network of relationships that facilitated cooperation—had eroded significantly.

Reduced creativity and innovation (52.6%), missed deadlines (57.1%), and poor quality work outputs (49.4%) all directly compromised organizational effectiveness. Creativity required psychological safety to take risks and suggest ideas, which disappeared in conflict-laden environments. Missed deadlines and poor quality work created cascading failures in service delivery. Reduced teamwork, affecting 60.3%, was particularly problematic in government settings requiring extensive coordination across departments and levels.

The constellation of negative consequences documented in Table 7 demonstrated that poor conflict management created a vicious cycle of organizational decline. Conflicts reduced performance, which created additional stresses and conflicts, further deteriorating performance. Breaking this cycle required systematic intervention to improve conflict management capacity.

5.6 Correlation Between Conflict Management and Employee Performance

Table 8: Correlation Analysis Between Conflict Management and Performance (N=156)

Variables	Pearson Correlation (r)	Sig. (2-tailed)	Interpretation
Overall conflict management effectiveness & Overall performance	0.718**	0.000	Strong positive
Collaborative approach & Task completion	0.697**	0.000	Strong positive
Collaborative approach & Work quality	0.684**	0.000	Strong positive
Collaborative approach & Teamwork	0.731**	0.000	Strong positive
Compromise approach & Performance	0.542**	0.000	Moderate positive
Avoidance approach & Performance	-0.623**	0.000	Strong negative
Frequency of conflicts & Performance	-0.558**	0.000	Moderate negative
Speed of conflict resolution & Performance	0.612**	0.000	Strong positive

*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Source: Primary Data, 2024

Table 8 presented correlation analyses that quantified the relationships between conflict management variables and employee performance, providing robust statistical evidence for the study's central findings. The correlation between overall conflict management effectiveness and overall performance was strongly positive at $r = 0.718$ ($p < 0.01$), indicating that approximately 51.6% of variance in performance could be explained by conflict management

effectiveness ($r^2 = 0.516$). This was a remarkably strong relationship in organizational research, suggesting that conflict management was among the most influential factors determining performance outcomes in Manafwa District Local Government.

The statistical significance at the 0.01 level provided high confidence that this relationship was genuine rather than due to chance. The strength of this correlation suggested that improving conflict management could yield substantial performance gains. If the district could enhance conflict management effectiveness by one standard deviation, performance could be expected to improve by approximately 0.72 standard deviations a practically significant improvement that would translate into better service delivery, higher productivity, and improved organizational functioning.

Collaborative approaches showed particularly strong positive correlations with specific performance dimensions. The correlation with teamwork ($r = 0.731$) was the strongest observed, indicating that collaborative conflict management and effective teamwork reinforced each other. This made intuitive sense, as collaboration both required and built teamwork capacity. The strong correlations with task completion ($r = 0.697$) and work quality ($r = 0.684$) demonstrated that collaborative conflict management enhanced core performance outputs.

These findings suggested that organizations seeking to improve performance should prioritize development of collaborative conflict resolution capacity. Training programs, cultural change initiatives, and structural adjustments that promoted collaboration could yield high returns through enhanced performance. The consistency of strong positive correlations across performance dimensions indicated that collaborative conflict management had broad beneficial effects rather than improving only specific aspects of performance.

The moderate positive correlation between compromise approaches and performance ($r = 0.542$) confirmed that compromise, while not as effective as collaboration, still contributed positively to performance. This suggested a hierarchy of conflict management effectiveness: collaboration was optimal, compromise was acceptable, while competitive, accommodating, and avoidant approaches were problematic.

The strong negative correlation between avoidance approaches and performance ($r = -0.623$) provided statistical confirmation that avoidance was actively harmful to performance. This negative relationship meant that as use of avoidance increased, performance systematically decreased. The strength of this negative correlation (nearly as strong as the positive correlation with collaboration) indicated that avoidance was not merely neutral or ineffective but rather destructive to organizational functioning. Organizations with cultures of conflict avoidance could expect significantly impaired performance.

The moderate negative correlation between conflict frequency and performance ($r = -0.558$) indicated that more frequent conflicts were associated with lower performance. However, the moderate rather than strong correlation suggested that conflict frequency alone did not determine outcomes—how conflicts were managed mattered more than how often they occurred. Some organizations with frequent conflicts might maintain reasonable performance if

conflicts were managed constructively, while organizations with fewer but poorly managed conflicts could experience severe performance impacts.

The strong positive correlation between speed of conflict resolution and performance ($r = 0.612$) highlighted the importance of timely conflict management. Conflicts that were addressed quickly caused limited damage, while prolonged unresolved conflicts created cumulative harm. This finding emphasized the need for accessible, efficient conflict resolution mechanisms that could address disputes before they escalated or created lasting damage.

The pattern of correlations supported a clear conclusion: conflict management was not merely about maintaining workplace harmony but was fundamentally linked to organizational performance. The relationships were strong enough to suggest that conflict management should be treated as a strategic organizational priority rather than a peripheral human resource function.

6.0 Conclusions

This study successfully examined the influence of conflict management on employee performance in Manafwa District Local Government, generating substantial evidence that conflict management practices significantly shaped performance outcomes. The research revealed that workplace conflicts were pervasive, with 63.5% of employees experiencing conflicts frequently or very frequently, and 63.4% characterizing conflicts as moderately to very severe. These conflicts stemmed primarily from unclear roles and responsibilities, competition for limited resources, and poor communication factors that were amenable to management intervention.

The study conclusively demonstrated that conflict management strategies profoundly influenced employee performance, with the correlation analysis showing a strong positive relationship ($r = 0.718$, $p < 0.01$) between conflict management effectiveness and overall performance. Collaborative conflict management approaches yielded the highest performance outcomes (mean = 4.18/5.0), while avoidance approaches though most commonly used produced the lowest performance (mean = 2.43/5.0). This disconnect between usage patterns and effectiveness represented a critical organizational dysfunction that systematically undermined performance.

The research identified specific mechanisms through which poor conflict management damaged performance, including reduced productivity (71.8% of employees), increased stress and anxiety (67.9%), decreased job satisfaction (67.9%), and higher absenteeism (58.3%). These consequences created a vicious cycle where conflicts and poor management generated performance problems, which in turn created additional conflicts and stresses. The finding that 55.8% of employees had increased turnover intentions due to conflict-related issues raised serious concerns about retention and institutional capacity.

Conversely, the study demonstrated that effective conflict management through collaborative and compromise approaches created positive performance dynamics. Employees operating in environments where conflicts were

constructively managed demonstrated higher task completion rates (73.7%), better work quality, improved teamwork (78.2%), and greater innovation (64.1%). The strong correlations between collaborative conflict management and specific performance dimensions confirmed that investment in conflict resolution capacity could yield substantial organizational benefits.

The research concluded that conflict management in Manafwa District Local Government required urgent and systematic attention. The prevailing culture of conflict avoidance, absence of formal conflict management policies, limited supervisor training in conflict resolution, and lack of accessible grievance mechanisms created an organizational environment where conflicts persisted, escalated, and systematically undermined employee performance. However, the study also demonstrated that these problems were solvable—the effectiveness of collaborative approaches when employed proved that constructive conflict management was possible and powerful.

7.0 Recommendations

Based on the study findings and conclusions, the following recommendations were proposed for Manafwa District Local Government and similar organizations:

7.1 Development of Comprehensive Conflict Management Policies

The district should develop and institutionalize comprehensive conflict management policies that formally established expectations, procedures, and responsibilities for addressing workplace conflicts. These policies should clearly define acceptable and unacceptable behaviors, outline step-by-step processes for raising and resolving conflicts, specify timelines for conflict resolution, and identify responsible officials at different organizational levels. Policies should emphasize collaborative and compromise approaches while discouraging avoidance. All employees should receive copies of conflict management policies during orientation and periodically thereafter, ensuring awareness and accessibility.

7.2 Training Programs in Conflict Resolution Skills

The district should implement mandatory training programs in conflict resolution for all supervisors and managers, with optional training available to all staff. Training should cover conflict identification, communication techniques for addressing conflicts, collaborative problem-solving methods, mediation skills, and emotional intelligence development. Special emphasis should be placed on moving from avoidance to engagement, transforming win-lose thinking to win-win approaches, and developing cultural sensitivity given Uganda's diverse ethnic and religious landscape. Training should be practical, involving role-plays, case studies, and simulations rather than merely theoretical instruction. Follow-up refresher training should occur annually.

7.3 Establishment of Formal Grievance Mechanisms

The district should establish accessible, confidential, and trustworthy formal grievance mechanisms that provided employees with safe channels for raising conflicts. This should include designation of trained grievance officers independent from direct supervisory lines, creation of confidential complaint systems, establishment of grievance

committees with rotating membership, and clear timelines for investigation and resolution. Mechanisms should include protections against retaliation and regular reporting to district leadership on grievance trends and resolutions. The mere existence of credible grievance mechanisms often encouraged informal resolution, as parties knew formal options existed if informal approaches failed.

References

- Alex, I., & Kazaara, A. G. (2023). *Internal Controls and Financial Performance of Saccos in Wakiso District*. 7(3), 47–56.
- Ariyo, G. K. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility and Competitive Edge. Lesson from MTN Uganda. *Texila International Journal of Management*, 9(2), 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.21522/tijmg.2015.09.02.art002>
- Benard, S. (2023). THE EFFECT OF PRODUCT QUALITY ON COMPETITIVENESS OF SMALL MEDIUM-SIZED ENTERPRISES (SMES) IN WESTERN UGANDA; REFLECTION ON IGARA TEA FACTORY, IN BUSHENYI DISTRICT. In *METROPOLITAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & ECONOMICS (MJB)* (Vol. 2, Issue 6).
- Brian, S., Shamirah, B., & Nicholas, K. (2024). *Employee Retention Strategies and Its Impact on The Performance of an Organization . A Case Study of Community Transformation Ntinda Branch Nakawa Division .* 8(6), 103–108.
- Christopher, F., Moses, N., Muhindo, M. E., & Komunda, T. R. (2022). Employee Training and Organizational Performance: A Case Study of African College of Commerce and Technology in Kabale District, South Western Uganda. *International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research*, 6(5), 1–7.
- Christopher, F., Muhindo, M. E., & Nakalema, F. (2022). *Decentralization and Social Service Delivery in Uganda : Acritical Analysis of the Decentralization and Social Service Delivery in Uganda : Acritical Analysis of the literature review*. November.
- David, M., Julius, A., Ariyo, D., & Kazaara, G. (2023). THE ROLE OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN SMALL SCALE ENTREPRENEURIAL DEVELOPMENT IN ADJUMANI DISTRICT, A CASE STUDY OF CENTENARY BANK Background of the Study. *METROPOLITAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & ECONOMICS (MJB)*, 2(3), 1490–1505.
- Deus, T. (2023). *Inventory Control And Financial Performance Of Private Health Institutions . A Case Study Of Nakasero Hospital , Central Division*. 7(2), 158–166.
- Emmanuel, E., Rebecca, N., Kazaara, A. G., & Nicholas, K. (2023). *The Effects Of Job Training On Performance Of Local Government Employees In ganda : A Case Study Of Molo Sub- County , Tororo District .* 7(2), 65–73.

- Faridah, K., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *The Effect Of Supplier Selection On Product Quality Management In Organizations . A Case Study Of Uganda Wild Life*. 7(3), 307–317.
- Isaac, O., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Assessment of the Effect of Workplace Conflict on Employees Performance and Organizational Productivity , a Case Study of Tororo General Hospital*. 7(3), 279–289.
- Ivan, M., Alex, I., & Deus, T. (2023). Internal Auditing and Financial Performance Commercial Banks in Uganda: a Case Study of Centenary Bank Nansana Branch. *Metropolitan Journal of Business & Economics (Mjbe)*, 2(6), 34–49.
- Julius, A., & Kazaara, A. I. (2025). *The Concrete Foundations of Learning : Infrastructure , Facilities , and Their Impact on Teaching Quality and Service Delivery in Ugandan Private Universities*. 9(8), 360–366.
- Julius, A., Nancy, M., & Audrey, A. (2024). *Forensic Audit On Public Funds Management In Uganda . An Empirical Evidence Of Kampala Capital City Authority*. 8(8), 8–13.
- Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., & Kazaara, A. I. (2024). *Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Organizational Efficiency and Productivity . A Case Study of Metropolitan International University , Kampala Campus*. 8(8), 254–260.
- Moses, N., Enock, Z., & Matovu, K. (2025). *Corporate Governance and Financial Performance : A Case Study of Uganda Development Bank*. 9(February), 31–38.
- Moses, T. (2023). *On Job Training and Its Implication on Staff Performance in Uganda : A Case Study of Kasanda District Local Government*. 7(4), 155–163.
- Muhamad, S., Irumba, A., & Bakaaki, P. (2023). *Organizational Culture, Job Satisfaction And Organizational Commitment In Renewable Energy Industry*. 8(6), 146–151.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376650821>
- Nafiu, L. A., Oshungade, I. O., & Adewara, A. A. (2012). *Generalization of Multistage Cluster Sampling Using Finite Population*. 3(1), 17–20. www.eaas-journal.org
- Nelson, K., Christopher, F., & Milton, N. (2022). *Teach Yourself Spss and Stata*. 6(7), 84–122.
- Nelson, K., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Teach Yourself E-Views*. 7(3), 124–145.
- Nicholas, K., & Nancy, M. (2024). *The Future of Work : Exploring the Impact of Automation and Remote Work on Organizational Structures and Talent Management . A Case Study the Metropolitan International University*. 8(8), 48–53.
- Ntirandekura, M., & Christopher, F. (2022). *Employee Welfare and Productivity of Bankers in Kabale Municipality ,*

Kabale District : a Case Study of Equity Bank. 6(7), 134–144.

Ntirandekura, M., & Friday, C. (2022). *Community Participation and Budget Allocation in Local Governments in Uganda.* May.
<http://ir.miu.ac.ug:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/70%0Ahttp://ir.miu.ac.ug:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/70/1/IJAMR220513-1.pdf>

Ntirandekura, M., Friday, C., & Muhammad, M. (2022). Rewards and Staff Retention in Public Sector in Uganda: An Empirical Study from Kabale District Local Government. *International Journal of Academic Management Science Research*, 6(7), 165–170.

Olanrewaju, R. O., Waititu, A. G., & Abiodun, N. L. (2021). *On the Estimation of k-Regimes Switching of Mixture Autoregressive Model via Weibull Distributional Random Noise.* 10(1), 1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijps.20211001.01>

Promise, O., Henry, M., & Julius, A. (2024). *External Auditing and The Financial Performance Of Sebbi International Limited , Entebbe.* 8(6), 156–161.

Ramadhan, B., Alex, I., Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., Deus, T., & Pascal, T. (2023). *Taxation and the Development of Small Businesses in Uganda , a Case Study of Iganga District.* 7(2), 136–149.

Sarah, A., & Audrey, A. (2024). *Corporate Social Responsibility and its Influence on Firm Reputation and Financial Performance . A Case Study of Equity.* 8(8), 202–207.