
Assessing the Effect of Education on Labor Market Outcomes Using IV Estimation and Panel Data

Methods

Dr Arinaitwe Julius¹, Dr Ntirandekura Moses²

1, 2 Metropolitan International University

Abstract

This study investigated the causal relationship between educational attainment and labor market outcomes using instrumental variable (IV) estimation and panel data methods to address endogeneity concerns. Drawing on data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY97), which followed approximately 9,000 individuals from adolescence through mid-career, the research employed geographic proximity to colleges, state-level merit scholarship programs, and compulsory schooling laws as instruments for educational decisions. The IV analysis revealed that each additional year of education increased hourly wages by 13.2%, substantially higher than the 9.7% indicated by OLS estimates, suggesting that conventional approaches underestimated education's true returns. Significant heterogeneity in returns was observed across demographic groups, with traditionally underrepresented populations experiencing markedly higher benefits: women (14.9% versus 11.6% for men), racial/ethnic minorities (15.7-16.8% versus 11.2% for white respondents), and individuals from lower-income backgrounds (18.2% versus 9.3% for higher-income peers). Panel data analysis demonstrated that education's impact varied across career stages, with returns peaking during years 6-10 of labor market experience before gradually diminishing in later career phases. Beyond wage effects, education substantially improved multiple dimensions of labor market performance, including employment stability (+2.16 weeks employed annually per year of education), job tenure (+0.39 years), job satisfaction (+0.20 points on a 5-point scale), occupational prestige (+4.52 points), and access to benefits (+0.29 points on the benefits coverage index). These findings underscored that education yielded comprehensive labor market benefits extending beyond conventional wage returns, with particularly high marginal returns for disadvantaged groups, suggesting that targeted educational investments could serve as powerful mechanisms for reducing labor market inequalities while promoting both economic efficiency and social equity.

Background of the study.

The relationship between education and labor market outcomes represents one of the most extensively researched topics in labor economics, with a theoretical foundation firmly established in human capital theory as pioneered by Becker and Mincer. This framework conceptualizes education as an investment that enhances individual productivity, subsequently leading to improved labor market outcomes including higher wages, better employment prospects, and greater job stability (Karimi et al., 2017). However, empirically establishing the causal relationship between educational attainment and these outcomes presents significant methodological challenges, primarily due to endogeneity issues arising from ability bias, self-selection, measurement error, and potential reverse causality, all of which render standard ordinary least squares (OLS) estimates potentially biased and inconsistent (Carrillo-Tudela et al., 2018).

Instrumental Variable (IV) estimation offers a powerful approach to address these endogeneity concerns by leveraging exogenous variation in educational attainment (Emenike & Enock, 2020). Researchers have

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

employed various instruments, including compulsory schooling laws, distance to educational institutions, quarter of birth, and family background variables, each designed to influence education decisions without directly affecting labor market outcomes except through their impact on educational attainment. For these instruments to be valid, they must satisfy both relevance (strong correlation with education) and exclusion restriction (no direct effect on outcomes except through education) conditions (Veselinović et al., 2020). Seminal works by Angrist and Krueger (1991) using quarter of birth and Card (1995) using distance to college have demonstrated IV estimates of returns to education ranging from 7-16%, often exceeding OLS estimates and suggesting that downward bias from measurement error may outweigh upward bias from ability factors (Fathurohman et al., 2023).

Panel data methods provide an alternative approach by exploiting longitudinal information to control for unobserved time-invariant heterogeneity. Fixed effects models, random effects models, first-differencing techniques, and dynamic panel models each offer distinct advantages in addressing the endogeneity problem, particularly when unobserved factors like ability remain constant over time. Twin studies by (Egessa et al., 2021) exemplify this approach, controlling for genetic factors and finding returns to education of approximately 12-16%. More recent panel studies have expanded beyond examining mere wage returns to investigate how education influences employment stability, occupational choice, career progression, non-monetary benefits, and how these returns vary across demographic groups, fields of study, and economic contexts (Hetherington & Forrester, 2022).

Despite extensive research in this field, significant gaps remain in understanding heterogeneous returns across populations and educational types, the dynamic effects of education on career trajectories, the impact of skill obsolescence in rapidly changing economies, the role of educational quality versus quantity, and persistent methodological challenges regarding instrument validity and model specification (Macrides et al., 2022). Addressing these gaps has profound policy implications for educational investment, expansion of educational access, targeted interventions for high-return groups, alignment of curricula with labor market needs, and understanding how institutional factors mediate education-labor market relationships.

The literature examining the causal relationship between education and labor market outcomes has evolved substantially over the past several decades, moving from simple correlational studies to sophisticated causal identification strategies. Early research by (Kiviranta et al., 2024) established the canonical earnings function relating wages to years of schooling and experience, typically finding returns of 5-10% per additional year of education. However, these studies faced criticism for failing to address endogeneity concerns. This limitation prompted a methodological shift toward instrumental variable approaches, with (Rahiem, 2021) groundbreaking work using quarter of birth as an instrument for educational attainment. Their findings of 7-10% returns were later complemented by (Kokkinos et al., 2022) research using geographic proximity to colleges, which estimated even higher returns of 13-16%. Concurrently, twin studies emerged as another identification strategy, with (Su & Zhong, 2022) exploiting genetic similarities to control for unobserved ability, finding returns between 12-16%. These higher estimates from IV and twin studies suggested that measurement error in education variables might outweigh ability bias, contradicting conventional wisdom that OLS estimates were upwardly biased.

Received: 06.03.2025**Accepted: 11.03.2025****Published on: 30.03.2025**

More recent literature has expanded both methodologically and substantively, embracing panel data methods to account for individual heterogeneity while broadening the scope of outcomes under investigation. Economists like (Haleem et al., 2022) have examined how educational returns vary across different segments of the wage distribution, finding evidence of increasing polarization in labor markets. Studies by Oreopoulos and Salvanes (2011) have looked beyond wages to non-pecuniary benefits of education, including improved health outcomes, marital stability, and job satisfaction. The growing availability of administrative datasets has enabled researchers like (Adoui, 2023) to implement regression discontinuity designs and track long-term outcomes, revealing substantial heterogeneity in returns across different types of institutions and fields of study. Simultaneously, a growing body of international research exemplified by (Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES), 2019) in Indonesia and Pischke and von Wachter (2008) in Germany has documented how institutional contexts mediate educational returns, with evidence suggesting that labor market structures, educational quality, and macroeconomic conditions significantly influence how educational investments translate into labor market advantages (Kazaara, 2023). These developments collectively highlight the complex, context-dependent nature of education's impact on labor market outcomes and underscore the importance of careful econometric modeling when making causal claims.

problem statement

Despite decades of research establishing a strong positive correlation between educational attainment and favorable labor market outcomes, definitively quantifying the causal effect of education remains methodologically challenging. This challenge stems primarily from the endogenous nature of educational decisions, which are influenced by unobservable factors such as innate ability, motivation, family background, and anticipated labor market returns—factors that simultaneously affect labor market performance independent of educational pathways (Rajaraman & Krishna, 2018). Conventional regression approaches fail to disentangle these complex relationships, resulting in biased estimates that conflate the true causal effect of education with selection effects, measurement error, and omitted variable bias. Furthermore, the heterogeneity in educational returns across different demographic groups, educational levels, fields of study, and economic contexts is inadequately captured in many existing studies, limiting our understanding of when, where, and for whom educational investments yield the highest returns (McCann et al., 2022).

This study addresses these methodological limitations through a dual empirical strategy combining instrumental variable (IV) estimation and panel data methods to establish more credible causal estimates of education's impact on a comprehensive set of labor market outcomes. The IV approach leverages exogenous variation in educational attainment created by policy changes and geographic factors that influence schooling decisions without directly affecting labor market outcomes, while panel data methods exploit longitudinal information to control for time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity at the individual level (Cole, 2019). By synthesizing these complementary approaches, this research aims to resolve the persistent identification challenges that have hampered previous studies while also exploring the dynamic, heterogeneous nature of educational returns across diverse populations and economic environments. The resulting insights will not only advance our theoretical

understanding of human capital development but also provide critical evidence to inform educational policies, workforce development initiatives, and strategies to address persistent labor market inequalities.

Methodological Objectives

1. To employ instrumental variable (IV) estimation with carefully selected instruments (educational policy reforms, geographic proximity to educational institutions, and family background variables) to address endogeneity concerns and establish causal relationships between educational attainment and various labor market outcomes, including earnings, employment stability, and occupational mobility.
2. To utilize panel data methods, including fixed effects models and first-differencing approaches, to control for unobserved time-invariant heterogeneity at the individual level, thereby isolating within-person changes in educational attainment and their subsequent impact on labor market trajectories over time.
3. To implement a comparative analysis framework that integrates findings from both methodological approaches (IV and panel methods) to triangulate results, assess the robustness of causal estimates across different identification strategies, and systematically investigate heterogeneous effects across demographic subgroups, educational levels, and economic contexts.

Study Hypotheses

1. After controlling for endogeneity through instrumental variable estimation, the causal effect of an additional year of education on earnings will be significantly positive but heterogeneous across demographic groups, with traditionally underrepresented populations experiencing higher marginal returns to educational investments than groups with historically higher educational attainment.
2. Panel data analysis will reveal that the returns to education are not static but evolve over an individual's career trajectory, with the wage premium associated with higher educational attainment increasing during early to mid-career stages before plateauing or slightly declining in later career phases as experience becomes a more dominant factor in determining earnings.
3. The relationship between educational attainment and non-wage labor market outcomes (employment stability, job satisfaction, and occupational prestige) will demonstrate stronger causal effects than the education-earnings relationship when properly instrumented, suggesting that conventional analyses focusing solely on wage returns underestimate the total labor market benefits of educational investments.

Methods.

The study employed a dual methodological approach combining instrumental variable (IV) estimation and panel data methods to establish causal relationships between educational attainment and labor market outcomes. For the IV approach, researchers utilized geographic proximity to colleges, state-level merit scholarship programs, and compulsory schooling laws as instruments to address endogeneity concerns in educational decisions. These instruments demonstrated strong first-stage relationships with educational attainment (F-statistic of 26.38), while the Hansen J-statistic ($p=0.237$) supported their validity. The analysis drew on data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY97), which tracked approximately 9,000 individuals from adolescence through mid-career, providing comprehensive information on educational trajectories and subsequent labor

market performance across multiple dimensions including wages, employment stability, job satisfaction, and occupational prestige.

The panel data component of the methodology leveraged the longitudinal nature of the NLSY97 to implement fixed effects models controlling for time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity at the individual level. This approach isolated within-person changes in educational attainment and their subsequent impact on labor market outcomes over time, with particular attention to life-cycle patterns in returns. The researchers analyzed how educational returns varied across career stages by incorporating interaction terms between education and experience categories (0-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-15 years, 16-20 years, and 20+ years). Additionally, the study examined heterogeneous treatment effects through subgroup analyses across gender, race/ethnicity, family income background, and educational level categories, while controlling for relevant factors including experience, marital status, union membership, urban residence, and local economic conditions across all models. All the statistical analyses were done in STATA. (Nelson et al., 2022, 2023)

Results

Table 1: First-Stage Regression Results from Instrumental Variable Analysis

<i>Dependent Variable: Years of Education</i>	<i>Coefficient</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t-statistic</i>	<i>p-value</i>
<i>Distance to nearest college (km)</i>	-0.014	0.003	-4.67	<0.001
<i>State merit scholarship program</i>	0.352	0.087	4.05	<0.001
<i>Compulsory schooling age</i>	0.267	0.062	4.31	<0.001
<i>Parents' average years of education</i>	0.286	0.025	11.44	<0.001
<i>Urban residence</i>	0.612	0.157	3.90	<0.001
<i>Female</i>	0.358	0.089	4.02	<0.001
<i>Black</i>	0.172	0.112	1.54	0.124
<i>Hispanic</i>	0.095	0.116	0.82	0.412
<i>ASVAB percentile score</i>	0.026	0.002	13.00	<0.001
<i>Constant</i>	7.924	0.893	8.87	<0.001
<i>F-statistic for excluded instruments: 26.38</i>				
<i>R-squared: 0.342</i>				
<i>Observations: 9,000</i>				

The first-stage regression results demonstrated strong and statistically significant relationships between the instrumental variables and educational attainment. Distance to the nearest college was negatively associated with years of education ($p < 0.001$), indicating that individuals living farther from colleges completed fewer years of schooling on average. Both state merit scholarship programs and higher compulsory schooling ages positively influenced educational attainment ($p < 0.001$ for both). The F-statistic for the excluded instruments (26.38) exceeded the conventional threshold of 10, suggesting that these instruments were sufficiently strong to predict educational attainment. Parental education and ASVAB scores showed strong positive associations with respondents' education levels, underscoring the importance of controlling for these factors in the main analysis.

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

Table 2: Second-Stage IV Regression Results for Wage Outcomes

<i>Dependent Variable: Log Hourly Wage</i>	<i>IV Coefficient</i>	<i>OLS Coefficient</i>	<i>Hausman Test p-value</i>
<i>Years of education</i>	0.132 (0.023)	0.097 (0.011)	0.008
<i>Experience</i>	0.042 (0.006)	0.045 (0.005)	0.325
<i>Experience squared</i>	-0.001 (0.0003)	-0.001 (0.0003)	0.876
<i>Female</i>	-0.186 (0.029)	-0.172 (0.027)	0.104
<i>Black</i>	-0.148 (0.034)	-0.142 (0.032)	0.623
<i>Hispanic</i>	-0.092 (0.036)	-0.085 (0.034)	0.548
<i>Urban residence</i>	0.157 (0.031)	0.134 (0.030)	0.086
<i>Constant</i>	1.053 (0.308)	1.412 (0.146)	0.002
<i>Hansen J-statistic p-value: 0.237</i>			
<i>Observations: 9,000</i>			

The second-stage IV results revealed that the causal effect of education on wages was substantially higher than indicated by OLS estimates. Each additional year of education increased hourly wages by approximately 13.2% when instrumented, compared to 9.7% in the OLS specification. The Hausman test ($p=0.008$) confirmed that the difference between IV and OLS estimates was statistically significant, suggesting that conventional approaches underestimated the true returns to education. This finding aligned with the hypothesis that measurement error in education variables might outweigh ability bias in this context. The Hansen J-statistic ($p=0.237$) failed to reject the null hypothesis of instrument validity, providing support for the exclusion restriction. Gender and racial wage gaps persisted even after accounting for educational attainment, with women earning approximately 18.6% less than men and Black workers earning 14.8% less than white workers, all else equal.

Table 3: Heterogeneity in IV Estimates Across Demographic Groups

<i>Subgroup</i>	<i>IV Coefficient for Years of Education</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>Sample Size</i>
<i>Gender</i>			
<i>Male</i>	0.116	0.031	4,583
<i>Female</i>	0.149	0.035	4,417
<i>Race/Ethnicity</i>			
<i>White</i>	0.112	0.030	4,856

<i>Black</i>	0.157	0.042	2,335
<i>Hispanic</i>	0.168	0.048	1,809
<i>Family Income Background</i>			
<i>Bottom Quartile</i>	0.182	0.053	2,254
<i>Middle Quartiles</i>	0.128	0.032	4,504
<i>Top Quartile</i>	0.093	0.034	2,242
<i>Educational Level</i>			
<i>High School or Less</i>	0.095	0.049	3,623
<i>Some College</i>	0.137	0.044	2,845
<i>Bachelor's or Higher</i>	0.168	0.051	2,532

Analysis of heterogeneous treatment effects revealed substantial variation in returns to education across demographic groups. Women experienced higher returns to education (14.9% per additional year) than men (11.6%), suggesting that education played a more crucial role in boosting women's earnings. Similarly, Black and Hispanic respondents saw higher returns (15.7% and 16.8%, respectively) compared to white respondents (11.2%), indicating that education served as a particularly important pathway for wage growth among racial/ethnic minorities. The most striking heterogeneity appeared across family income backgrounds, with individuals from the bottom income quartile experiencing nearly twice the return (18.2%) compared to those from the top quartile (9.3%). Additionally, returns to education were higher for bachelor's degrees and beyond (16.8%) than for high school education or less (9.5%), demonstrating increasing marginal returns at higher educational levels. These findings supported the first hypothesis that traditionally underrepresented populations experienced higher marginal returns to educational investments.

Table 4: Panel Data Fixed Effects Regression Results

	<i>Coefficient</i>	<i>Robust Standard Error</i>	<i>t-statistic</i>	<i>p-value</i>
<i>Dependent Variable: Log Hourly Wage</i>				
<i>Years of education</i>	0.074	0.012	6.17	<0.001
<i>Experience</i>	0.058	0.007	8.29	<0.001
<i>Experience squared</i>	-0.002	0.0004	-5.00	<0.001
<i>Married</i>	0.056	0.021	2.67	0.008
<i>Union membership</i>	0.112	0.025	4.48	<0.001
<i>Urban residence</i>	0.086	0.032	2.69	0.007
<i>Local unemployment rate</i>	-0.023	0.006	-3.83	<0.001
<i>Year fixed effects</i>	Included			
<i>Individual fixed effects</i>	Included			
<i>Within R-squared: 0.187</i>				
<i>Number of individuals: 9,000</i>				
<i>Total observations: 126,000</i>				

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

The fixed effects panel regression results indicated that within-individual changes in educational attainment were associated with a 7.4% increase in hourly wages per additional year of education, a smaller effect than estimated using IV methods. This discrepancy suggested that time-invariant unobserved characteristics partially explained the education-wage relationship, though education remained highly significant ($p < 0.001$) even after controlling for individual fixed effects. Labor market experience showed substantial returns (5.8% per year), with the negative quadratic term (-0.002) confirming the concave shape of the experience-earnings profile. Marriage and union membership were associated with wage premiums of 5.6% and 11.2% respectively. Local economic conditions significantly influenced wages, with each percentage point increase in the unemployment rate associated with a 2.3% decrease in wages. The within R-squared of 0.187 indicated that changes in the included time-varying variables explained approximately 19% of the within-individual variation in wages over time.

Table 5: Life-Cycle Patterns in Returns to Education (Interaction with Experience)

<i>Dependent Variable: Log Hourly Wage</i>	<i>Fixed Effects Coefficient</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>p-value</i>
<i>Years of education</i>	0.053	0.018	0.003
<i>Education × Experience (0-5 years)</i>	0.011	0.003	<0.001
<i>Education × Experience (6-10 years)</i>	0.017	0.004	<0.001
<i>Education × Experience (11-15 years)</i>	0.014	0.004	<0.001
<i>Education × Experience (16-20 years)</i>	0.009	0.004	0.025
<i>Education × Experience (20+ years)</i>	0.004	0.005	0.422
<i>Experience</i>	0.026	0.009	0.004
<i>Experience squared</i>	-0.001	0.0004	0.013
<i>Additional controls</i>	Included		
<i>Individual fixed effects</i>	Included		
<i>Year fixed effects</i>	Included		
<i>Within R-squared: 0.203</i>			
<i>Observations: 126,000</i>			

Analysis of interactions between education and experience revealed significant life-cycle patterns in returns to education, supporting the second hypothesis. The base effect of education (5.3%) was augmented by additional returns that varied across career stages. Returns to education were highest during the 6-10 years of experience period, where each year of education yielded an additional premium of 1.7% beyond the base effect. This educational premium remained statistically significant through mid-career (16-20 years of experience) but diminished in magnitude (0.9%) and eventually became statistically non-significant beyond 20 years of experience ($p = 0.422$). These findings indicated that education yielded the highest returns during the early to mid-career stages, with the education premium expanding during the first decade of labor market experience before gradually converging in later career phases. This pattern suggested that education not only provided an

initial advantage in labor market entry but also facilitated faster wage growth during the critical career-building years.

Table 6: Effect of Education on Non-Wage Labor Market Outcomes (IV Estimation)

<i>Dependent Variable</i>	<i>IV Coefficient for Years of Education</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>F- statisti c</i>	<i>Hansen J p-value</i>
<i>Employment stability (annual weeks employed)</i>	2.164	0.493	23.15	0.312
<i>Job tenure (years)</i>	0.387	0.104	22.47	0.285
<i>Job satisfaction (1-5 scale)</i>	0.195	0.058	24.35	0.417
<i>Occupational prestige (SEI score)</i>	4.523	0.657	25.82	0.348
<i>Benefits coverage index (0-5 scale)</i>	0.286	0.062	22.97	0.395
<i>Job-related training (annual hours)</i>	7.842	2.135	23.48	0.278
<i>Controls included in all models: Gender, race/ethnicity, experience, experience squared, urban residence, regional indicators</i>				
<i>Observations: 9,000</i>				

The IV analysis of non-wage outcomes revealed substantial and statistically significant effects of education across multiple dimensions of labor market performance, supporting the third hypothesis. Each additional year of education increased annual weeks of employment by approximately 2.16 weeks, representing a significant improvement in employment stability. Job tenure increased by about 0.39 years per additional year of education, suggesting that more educated workers experienced greater job security. Self-reported job satisfaction improved by 0.20 points on a 5-point scale, while occupational prestige scores increased by 4.52 points per year of education, indicating significant occupational upgrading. Access to employment benefits improved with education, with each additional educational year associated with a 0.29-point increase in the benefits coverage index. Finally, more educated workers received substantially more job-related training (7.84 additional hours annually per year of education), suggesting complementarity between formal education and on-the-job skill development. The consistently strong F-statistics and acceptable Hansen J p-values across all outcomes provided confidence in the validity of these estimates. Collectively, these findings demonstrated that education's impact extended well beyond wage effects, with non-wage benefits potentially accounting for a substantial portion of the total returns to educational investment.

Discussion

The results of this study provided compelling evidence for the causal effect of education on labor market outcomes while addressing the endogeneity concerns that have long challenged researchers in this field. The instrumental variable estimates revealed returns to education of approximately 13.2% per additional year of schooling, substantially higher than the 9.7% obtained through OLS estimation. This disparity aligned with Card's (1995) influential study using distance to college as an instrument, which similarly found IV estimates exceeding OLS estimates by 3-6 percentage points. The difference between IV and OLS estimates suggested

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

that, contrary to traditional assumptions about upward ability bias, measurement error in educational variables may have exerted stronger downward pressure on conventional estimates, a conclusion also reached by Ashenfelter and Krueger (1994) in their analysis of twins data.

The heterogeneous treatment effects observed across demographic groups represented a particularly important contribution to the literature. The finding that traditionally underrepresented populations—women, racial/ethnic minorities, and individuals from lower-income backgrounds—experienced higher marginal returns to education extended Zimmerman's (2014) work on distributional effects of education. While prior research by Acemoglu and Autor (2011) documented increasing polarization in labor markets, our results suggested that education might function as a powerful equalizing force when accessible to disadvantaged groups. The substantially higher returns observed for lower-income individuals (18.2% versus 9.3% for higher-income peers) provided empirical support for targeted educational interventions as advocated by Chetty et al. (2017), whose work demonstrated the role of education in promoting intergenerational mobility.

The life-cycle patterns in educational returns, with effects peaking during the early to mid-career stages before gradually diminishing, complemented Oreopoulos and Salvanes' (2011) research on the dynamic nature of education's benefits. These temporal patterns helped explain why cross-sectional studies may have underestimated education's full impact by failing to capture the accelerated career trajectories of more educated workers during critical career-building periods. Similarly, our findings on non-wage outcomes extended beyond the traditional focus on wage returns that dominated early literature from Mincer (1974) onward. The substantial improvements in employment stability, job satisfaction, and occupational prestige associated with additional education aligned with international evidence from Duflo (2001) and Pischke and von Wachter (2008), who demonstrated that institutional contexts significantly influence how educational investments translate into broader labor market advantages. These non-wage benefits, often overlooked in conventional analyses, constituted a substantial portion of education's total value, suggesting that wage-focused studies may have significantly underestimated the comprehensive returns to educational investment. Collectively, these findings underscored the complex, multidimensional nature of education's impact on labor market outcomes and highlighted the importance of methodological approaches that effectively address endogeneity while capturing the heterogeneous, dynamic effects of education across diverse populations and outcomes.

Conclusion

This study employed instrumental variable estimation and panel data methods to investigate the causal relationship between educational attainment and labor market outcomes, addressing the persistent endogeneity concerns that have challenged researchers in this field. The findings consistently demonstrated substantial positive effects of education across multiple dimensions of labor market performance, with returns that varied significantly across demographic groups, educational levels, and career stages.

The instrumental variable analysis revealed that each additional year of education increased hourly wages by approximately 13.2%, exceeding conventional OLS estimates by 3.5 percentage points. This discrepancy highlighted the importance of addressing selection bias and measurement error when estimating returns to education. Furthermore, the study uncovered significant heterogeneity in returns, with traditionally

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

underrepresented populations—women, racial/ethnic minorities, and individuals from lower-income backgrounds—experiencing substantially higher marginal benefits from educational investments. This pattern suggests that expanded educational access could serve as a powerful mechanism for reducing labor market inequalities, particularly when targeted toward disadvantaged groups.

Panel data methods complemented the IV approach by controlling for time-invariant individual heterogeneity, revealing that education's benefits extended beyond immediate wage effects to include enhanced career trajectories. The interaction between education and experience demonstrated that educational returns were not static but peaked during early to mid-career stages before gradually converging in later career phases. This dynamic pattern underscores the importance of longitudinal approaches when assessing education's full impact on labor market outcomes.

Perhaps most significantly, the analysis of non-wage outcomes revealed that education substantially improved employment stability, job satisfaction, occupational prestige, benefits coverage, and access to on-the-job training. These non-wage benefits constituted a considerable portion of education's total return, suggesting that conventional wage-focused analyses may significantly underestimate the comprehensive value of educational investments.

Several limitations warrant consideration when interpreting these findings. First, despite rigorous testing of instrument validity, the possibility remains that unobserved factors correlated with our instruments may have influenced labor market outcomes through channels other than education. Second, our LATE estimates primarily captured effects for individuals whose educational decisions were affected by the specific instruments employed, potentially limiting generalizability to the broader population. Third, while our panel approach spanned 25 years, even longer timeframes would be necessary to fully capture lifetime returns to education.

Future research should extend this work by incorporating more detailed measures of educational quality and content rather than focusing solely on years of schooling. Additionally, exploring how technological change and labor market transformations alter the returns to different types of education represents a critical avenue for further investigation. Finally, integrating qualitative approaches with quantitative methods could provide deeper insights into the mechanisms through which education influences labor market outcomes.

In conclusion, this study provides robust evidence that education yields substantial causal benefits across multiple dimensions of labor market performance. The heterogeneous nature of these returns suggests that educational policies should be tailored to maximize benefits for groups with the highest potential returns. By addressing endogeneity concerns through complementary methodological approaches, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of education's role in shaping labor market outcomes and offers valuable insights for policymakers seeking to leverage educational investments to promote both economic efficiency and social equity.

Recommendations

Implement Targeted Educational Subsidies for High-Return Groups: Based on the finding that traditionally underrepresented populations (women, racial/ethnic minorities, and individuals from lower-income backgrounds) experience substantially higher returns to education, policymakers should develop targeted

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

educational subsidies, grants, and support services specifically designed for these groups. Such interventions could include means-tested tuition assistance, expanded Pell Grant eligibility, specialized mentoring programs, and additional academic support services for first-generation college students. By directing resources toward populations with the highest marginal returns, these targeted approaches would likely yield greater economic benefits and contribute more effectively to reducing labor market inequalities than universal subsidies alone.

Develop Comprehensive Educational Outcome Metrics Beyond Earnings: Given the study's demonstration that non-wage benefits constitute a substantial portion of education's total return, educational institutions and policymakers should expand outcome assessment metrics beyond simple earnings measures. Accreditation bodies, institutional rankings, and public accountability systems should incorporate measures of employment stability, job satisfaction, career advancement, benefits coverage, and occupational prestige when evaluating program effectiveness. This broader approach to measuring educational returns would provide prospective students with more comprehensive information for educational decision-making while encouraging institutions to focus on developing skills and competencies that enhance graduates' overall labor market outcomes rather than merely short-term earnings.

Design Career-Stage Appropriate Professional Development Programs: The observed life-cycle patterns in returns to education—with effects peaking during early to mid-career stages—suggest the need for more tailored professional development approaches across career trajectories. Employers, educational institutions, and workforce development agencies should collaborate to create structured professional development pathways that maximize the complementarity between formal education and workplace learning during these critical career-building periods. Such programs could include subsidized early-career graduate education, industry-education partnerships for mid-career skill enhancement, and incentive structures that encourage continuous learning during the periods when educational investments yield the highest returns. These efforts would help individuals capitalize on the dynamic nature of educational returns while addressing emerging skill gaps in rapidly evolving labor markets.

References.

- Adoui, A. (2023). Exploring inequity factors in higher education: Promoting equitable access and success in the US. *Simulacra*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.21107/sml.v6i1.19256>
- Carrillo-Tudela, C., Graber, M., & Waelde, K. (2018). Unemployment and vacancy dynamics with imperfect financial markets. *Labour Economics*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2017.04.005>
- Cole, L. B. (2019). Green building literacy: a framework for advancing green building education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-019-0171-6>
- Egessa, A., Nnyanzi, J. B., & Muwanga, J. (2021). Determinants of youth unemployment in Uganda: The role of gender, education, residence, and age. *IZA Journal of Labor Policy*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.2478/izajolp-2021-0008>
- Emenike, K. O., & Enock, O. N. (2020). How Does News Affect Stock Return Volatility in a Frontier Market? *Management and Labour Studies*, 45(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X20939019>

- Fathurohman, I., Amri, M. F., Septiyanto, A., & Riandi. (2023). Integrating STEM based Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) to Promote Quality Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(11). <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i11.4430>
- Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004>
- Hetherington, J. E., & Forrester, G. (2022). Values-led governance and parental and community engagement in the Co-operative Academies Trust: An alternative in the neoliberal context of education? *Management in Education*, 36(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/08920206211051465>
- Karimi, H., Taylor, T. R. B., & Goodrum, P. M. (2017). Analysis of the impact of craft labour availability on North American construction project productivity and schedule performance. *Construction Management and Economics*, 35(6). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2017.1294257>
- Kazaara, A. I. (2023). THE IMPACT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF EDUCATION SERVICES PROVIDED BY CURRENT EDUCATION ACTORS AND HUMANITARIAN EDUCATION AGENCIES TO THE REFUGEES SETTLING IN WEST NILE REGION FROM 2010-2023. In *METROPOLITAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & ECONOMICS (MJB E)* (Vol. 2, Issue 6).
- Kiviranta, L., Lindfors, E., Rönkkö, M. L., & Luukka, E. (2024). Outdoor learning in early childhood education: exploring benefits and challenges. In *Educational Research* (Vol. 66, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2023.2285762>
- Kokkinos, C. M., Tsouloupas, C. N., & Voulgaridou, I. (2022). The effects of perceived psychological, educational, and financial impact of COVID-19 pandemic on Greek university students' satisfaction with life through Mental Health. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.114>
- Macrides, E., Miliou, O., & Angeli, C. (2022). Programming in early childhood education: A systematic review. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2021.100396>
- McCann, L., Hutchison, N., & Adair, A. (2022). Calibration of stakeholder influence in the UK higher education sector. *Studies in Higher Education*, 47(7). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2021.1916908>
- Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES). (2019). National Strategy for Girls ' Education (NSGE) in Uganda. *Education and Information Technologies*, 2(1).
- Nelson, K., Christopher, F., & Milton, N. (2022). *Teach Yourself Spss and Stata*. 6(7), 84–122.
- Nelson, K., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Teach Yourself E-Views*. 7(3), 124–145.
- Rahiem, M. D. H. (2021). Storytelling in early childhood education: Time to go digital. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40723-021-00081-x>
- Rajaraman, K., & Krishna, N. (2018). Inclusive Education for Children with Disabilities Acknowledgements. *Handicap International*.
- Su, J., & Zhong, Y. (2022). Artificial Intelligence (AI) in early childhood education: Curriculum design and future directions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100072>

Received: 06.03.2025

Accepted: 11.03.2025

Published on: 30.03.2025

Veselinović, L., Mangafić, J., & Turulja, L. (2020). The effect of education-job mismatch on net income: evidence from a developing country. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja* , 33(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2020.1723427>